

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF
PROPOSITION 70

More than 60 California Indian tribes operate casinos, but just one tribe is sponsoring Proposition 70. It says it wants to be treated like other businesses, but what other business can't be audited to determine their profits? What other business is granted an unregulated monopoly by the State?

Proposition 70 is full of loopholes:

- No provision to ensure tribes pay their fair share
- Keeps the state in the dark about the amount of money Indian casinos earn

Governor Schwarzenegger's negotiated agreements with several gaming tribes will add \$1 billion to the state's bottom line this year alone and hundreds of millions more every year. Proposition 70 effectively destroys these agreements.

Don't be misled by this self-serving measure that's been drafted by one lone Indian gaming tribe. Governor Schwarzenegger, leaders in law enforcement, labor, the environmental community and seniors all say VOTE NO on Proposition 70.

Additional reasons Californians should VOTE NO on Proposition 70:

- Gives tribes a 99-year gaming monopoly
- Wouldn't require tribes to pay taxes other companies pay, such as property and income taxes
- Allows tribes to own an unlimited number of casinos with no size limits
- Paves the way for UNLIMITED casino gaming in major urban and suburban areas across California

Governor Schwarzenegger's agreements are a winner for tribes and taxpayers. These agreements keep California's promise to Indian tribes while ensuring they pay their fair share.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITIONS 68 & 70.

Signatures:

David W. Paulson, President
California District Attorneys Association

Jack Gribbon
California UNITE HERE!

John T. Kehoe, President
California Senior Action Network

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES